

Notice: This decision is subject to formal revision before publication in the District of Columbia Register and OEA Website. Parties are requested to notify the Office Manager of any formal errors in order that corrections may be made prior to publication. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**BEFORE**  
**THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS**

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
EMPLOYEE	)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0006-22
	)	
v.	)	Date of Issuance: January 24, 2022
	)	
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION	)	JOSEPH E. LIM, ESQ.
<u>Agency</u>	)	Senior Administrative Judge
Employee <i>pro se</i>	)	
Amy Caspari, Esq., Agency Representative	)	

**INITIAL DECISION**

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Employee filed a petition with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA”) on October 19, 2021, appealing the decision of the Department of Parks and Recreation (“Agency”) to remove her from her position as Recreation Specialist effective September 16, 2021. OEA requested Agency’s response on November 2, 2021, and Agency requested an extension of time on December 1, 2021. Agency did not file an Answer to Employee’s Appeal but explained that it was discussing a settlement proposal with Employee. This matter was assigned to me on January 19, 2022. On a letter dated January 7, 2022, Employee submitted a withdrawal of her appeal. The record is closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code §1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Should the petition be dismissed?

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Since Employee has voluntarily withdrawn her appeal, Employee's petition for appeal is dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that this matter is DISMISSED with prejudice.

FOR THE OFFICE:

*s/Joseph Lim*  
Joseph E. Lim, Esq.  
Senior Administrative Judge