

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

_____)	
In the Matter of:)	
)	
Scott Fike)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0077-12
Employee)	
)	Date of Issuance: December 11, 2013
v.)	
)	Joseph E. Lim, Esq.
Metropolitan Police Department)	Senior Administrative Judge
_____)	
Agency)	
Scott Fike, Employee pro se		
Brenda Wilmore, Esq., Agency Representative		

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF FACTS

Employee filed a petition for appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (OEA) on March 14, 2012, appealing Agency’s final decision to suspend him for fifteen days for “failure to obey orders and directives issued by the Chief of Police.” This matter was assigned to me on September 3, 2013, and I held a prehearing conference on October 7, 2013. I then scheduled a hearing for December 11, 2013. Subsequently, Employee submitted a signed withdrawal of his petition. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

This Office has jurisdiction pursuant to D.C. Office Code Section 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Should this matter be dismissed?

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

The Administrative Judge is authorized to dismiss this matter based on Employee’s request that the petition for appeal be withdrawn as a result of the voluntary settlement of the matter. See *Rollins v. District of Columbia Public Schools*, OEA Matter No. J-0086-92, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (December 3, 1990).

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that the petition for appeal is DISMISSED with prejudice.

FOR THE OFFICE:

Joseph E. Lim, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge