

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register*. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BEFORE
THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

_____)	
In the Matter of:)	
)	
CHRISTINA PROCTOR,)	OEA Matter No. 2401-0012-13
Employee)	
)	
v.)	Date of Issuance: March 24, 2014
)	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA)	STEPHANIE N. HARRIS, Esq.
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,)	Administrative Judge
Agency)	
)	
_____)	
Christina Proctor, Employee <i>Pro-Se</i>)	
Lindsay Neinast, Esq., Agency Representative)	

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On October 12, 2012, Christina Proctor (“Employee”) filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA”) contesting the District of Columbia Department of Health’s (“DOH” or “Agency”) decision to separate her from service through a reduction-in-force (“RIF”). Employee’s termination was effective November 2, 2012. On February 13, 2013, Agency submitted its Answer in response to Employee’s Petition for Appeal.

I was assigned this matter on January 21, 2014. On January 23, 2014, the undersigned issued an Order directing the parties to appear at a Prehearing Status Conference on March 24, 2014 (“March 24th Prehearing Status Conference”). On the day of the Prehearing Status Conference, Employee called the undersigned to request that the Prehearing Status Conference be canceled and noted that she wanted to voluntarily withdraw her Petition for Appeal.¹ Additionally, Employee has submitted documentation voluntarily withdrawing her Petition for Appeal.² The record is now closed.

¹ Agency’s Representative was present for the March 24th Prehearing Conference.

² See Employee Voluntary Withdrawal (March 24, 2014).

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether this matter should be dismissed.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

Employee has voluntarily withdrawn her Petition for Appeal. Pursuant to this withdrawal, I conclude that this matter is dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED** that this matter be **DISMISSED**.

FOR THE OFFICE:

STEPHANIE N. HARRIS, Esq.
Administrative Judge