

Notice: This decision is subject to formal revision before publication in the District of Columbia Register. Parties are requested to notify the Office Manager of any formal errors in order that corrections be made prior to publication. This is not intended to provide an opportunity of a substantive challenge to the decision.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

_____)	
In the Matter of:)	
)	
JANICE NEAL-JACKSON,)	
Employee)	OEA Matter No. 2401-0023-12
)	
v.)	Date of Issuance: December 21, 2011
)	
METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT,)	MONICA DOHNJI, Esq.
Agency)	Administrative Judge
_____)	
Julianne Bongiorno, Employee Representative)	
Andrea Comentale, Esq., Agency Representative)	

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On November 10, 2011, Janice Neal-Jackson (“Employee”) filed a petition for appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA” or “Office”) contesting the Metropolitan Police Department’s (“MPD” or “Agency”) action of abolishing her position as an IT Specialist through a Reduction-In-Force (“RIF”). Agency filed its Answer to Employee’s petition for appeal on December 13, 2011. Subsequently, on December 16, 2011, Employee’s representative submitted a Motion to withdraw the appeal.¹ This matter was assigned to me on December 21, 2011.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether this appeal should be dismissed.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

Since Employee has voluntarily withdrawn her appeal, this matter is dismissed.

¹ See MOTION TO WITHDRAW APPEAL

ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED** that the petition for appeal in this matter is **DISMISSED**.

FOR THE OFFICE:

MONICA DOHNJI, Esq.
Administrative Judge