

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register*. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BEFORE
THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

_____)	
In the Matter of:)	
)	
PHILLIP TUCKER,)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0225-12
Employee)	
)	Date of Issuance: October 31, 2013
v.)	
)	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA)	STEPHANIE N. HARRIS, Esq.
PUBLIC SCHOOLS,)	Administrative Judge
Agency)	
_____)	
Phillip Tucker, Employee <i>Pro-Se</i>)	
Carl Turpin, Esq., Agency Representative)	

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On August 29, 2012, Phillip Tucker (“Employee”) filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA” or “Office”) contesting his termination from the District of Columbia Public Schools’ (“DCPS” or “Agency”).

A Meditation Conference was held on August 29, 2013. Neither of the parties were present for the mediation. However, the assigned mediator contacted Employee, who indicated that he was going to voluntarily withdraw his appeal. Subsequently, on October 11, 2013, Employee submitted a statement explaining that he wished to voluntarily withdraw his appeal. This matter was assigned to me on October 23, 2013. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether this matter should be dismissed.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

Employee has voluntarily withdrawn his Petition for Appeal. Pursuant to this withdrawal, I conclude that this matter is dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED** that this matter be **DISMISSED**.

FOR THE OFFICE:

STEPHANIE N. HARRIS, Esq.
Administrative Judge