

Notice: This decision is subject to formal revision before publication in the District of Columbia Register. Parties are requested to notify the Office Manager of any formal errors in order that corrections be made prior to publication. This is not intended to provide an opportunity of a substantive challenge to the decision.

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**BEFORE**  
**THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS**

_____	)	
In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
NANCY WILLSON,	)	
Employee	)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0170-13C15
	)	
v.	)	Date of Issuance: March 14, 2016
	)	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	)	Monica Dohnji, Esq.
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION,	)	Senior Administrative Judge
Agency	)	
_____	)	

Nancy Willson, Employee *Pro Se*  
Michael O’Connell, Esq., Agency’s Representative

**ADENDUM DECISION ON COMPLIANCE**

**INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND**

On September 30, 2013, Nancy Willson (“Employee”) filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA”) contesting the District Department of Transportation’s (“Agency”) decision to terminate her. On November 1, 2013, Agency filed a Motion to Dismiss Employee’s Petition for Appeal stating that Employee was a probationary employee at the time of her termination.<sup>1</sup> On January 22, 2014, I issued an Initial Decision (“ID”), reversing Agency’s decision to terminate Employee.

Agency appealed the ID to the OEA Board but the Board denied Agency’s Petition for Review. On July 24, 2015, Employee submitted a letter to OEA requesting that Agency enforce the final decision. Following several Status Conferences and email correspondence, on March 11, 2016, Employee submitted a signed letter stating in pertinent part that, “I, Nancy Willson, on this 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2016 withdraw the above “Motion for Enforcement.”<sup>2</sup> The record is now closed.

<sup>1</sup> Agency’s Motion to Dismiss Employee’s Petition for Appeal (November 1, 2013).

<sup>2</sup> Employee’s March 11, 2016, letter.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether Employee's Motion for Enforcement should be dismissed.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

In the instant matter, since Employee has voluntarily withdrawn her Motion for Enforcement, Employee's motion is dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that the Petition for Appeal in this matter is dismissed.

FOR THE OFFICE:

---

MONICA DOHNJI, Esq.  
Senior Administrative Judge