

Notice: This decision is subject to formal revision before publication in the District of Columbia Register and OEA Website. Parties are requested to notify the Office Manager of any formal errors in order that corrections may be made prior to publication. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BEFORE
THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:)	
)	
EMPLOYEE,)	OEA Matter No. J-0017-24
)	
v.)	Date of Issuance: March 27, 2024
)	
DEPARTMENT OF)	Senior Administrative Judge
YOUTH REHABILITATION SERVICES)	JOSEPH E. LIM, ESQ.
Agency)	
Andrea Comentale, Esq., Agency Representative		
Harpreet Moore, Employee <i>pro se</i>		

INITIAL DECISION

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Employee filed a petition with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA”) on December 28, 2023, appealing the decision of the D.C. Department of Youth Rehabilitation Services (“Agency”) to remove her from her position as a Supervisory Investigator in the Management Supervisory Service effective December 22, 2023. In response to OEA’s January 2, 2024, request, Agency submitted its answer to the appeal on January 29, 2024. The matter was assigned to me on January 30, 2024. Because Agency’s Answer contained a Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction, I ordered Employee to respond to the motion by February 20, 2024. Employee failed to respond. However, on March 26, 2024, Employee emailed a request for her appeal to be withdrawn. The record is closed.

JURISDICTION

The jurisdiction of the Office over this matter has not been established.

ISSUE

Should the petition be dismissed?

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

Since Employee has voluntarily withdrawn her appeal, Employee's petition for appeal is dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that this matter is DISMISSED with prejudice.

FOR THE OFFICE:

/s/ Joseph Lim
Joseph E. Lim, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge