

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register*. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:)	
)	OEA Matter No.: 1601-0040-14
WILLIAM CONYERS,)	
Employee)	
)	Date of Issuance: February 27, 2015
v.)	
)	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,)	
DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH,)	
REHABILITATION SERVICES,)	
Agency)	Sommer J. Murphy, Esq.
)	Administrative Judge
<hr/>		
Kelly Burchell, Esq., Employee Representative		
Eric Huang, Esq., Agency Representative		

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On December 20, 2013, William Conyers (“Employee”) filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA”) contesting the District of Columbia Department of Youth Rehabilitation Services’ (“Agency”) action of terminating his employment. Employee was charged with: 1) Any on-duty or employment-related act or omission that interferes with the efficiency and integrity of government operations—Incompetence; and 2) Any on-duty or employment-related reason for corrective or adverse action that is not arbitrary or capricious. Prior to being terminated, Employee worked as a Youth Development Representative (“YDR”) with Agency. The effective date of his termination was November 29, 2013.

I was assigned this matter in August of 2014. On August 14, 2014, I issued an Order scheduling a Prehearing Conference for the purpose of assessing the parties’ arguments. The conference was subsequently rescheduled on several occasions. On January 21, 2015, the parties informed me, via email, that they were engaged in settlement talks. On February 27, 2015, Employee submitted a written withdrawal of his appeal. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

This Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code §1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Should Employee's appeal be dismissed?

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS, AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Since Employee has voluntarily withdrawn his appeal, Employee's Petition for Appeal is dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED** that Employee's Petition for Appeal is **DISMISSED**.

FOR THE OFFICE:

SOMMER J. MURPHY, ESQ.
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE