

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the District of Columbia Register. Parties are requested to notify the Administrative Assistant of any formal errors in order that corrections may be made prior to publication. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:)	
)	
MARJORIE TAYLOR)	OEA Matter No. 2401-0207-04
Employee)	
)	Date of Issuance: June 24, 2005
v.)	
)	Daryl J. Hollis, Esq.
)	Senior Administrative Judge
D.C. PUBLIC SCHOOLS)	
Agency)	

Marjorie Taylor, *Pro se*
Harriet Segar, Esq., Agency Representative

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF FACTS

On July 31, 2004, Employee, a Reading Teacher, filed a petition for appeal from Agency's final decision allegedly separating her from Government service pursuant to a reduction-in-force (RIF).

This matter was assigned to me on June 21, 2005. My review of the case file showed that in its Answer to the petition for appeal, Agency claimed that in lieu of being separated from service, Employee had accepted a position at another school and thus suffered neither a break in service nor a loss of pay. On June 23, 2005, Employee confirmed that Agency's claim was accurate and that she wished to withdraw her petition for appeal. The record is closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether this matter may now be dismissed.


ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

Employee has voluntarily withdrawn her petition for appeal. Pursuant to this withdrawal, I conclude that this matter may now be dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that this matter is DISMISSED.

FOR THE OFFICE:


DARYL J. HOLLIS, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge