

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register* and the Office of Employee Appeals' website. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

_____)	
In the Matter of:)	
)	
MARKQUAT ANU AMEN-RA,)	
Employee)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0041-17
)	
v.)	Date of Issuance: February 27, 2018
)	
D.C. METROPOLITAN POLICE)	
DEPARTMENT,)	
Agency)	Eric T. Robinson, Esq.
)	Senior Administrative Judge
_____)	
Marc Wilhite, Esq., Employee Representative)	
Brenda Wilmore, Esq., Agency Representative)	

INITIAL DECISION

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On May 5, 2017, Markquat Anu Amen-Ra (“Employee”) filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA” or the “Office”) contesting the Metropolitan Police Department’s (“MPD” or the “Agency”) action of suspending him for fifteen days. MPD filed its Answer in this matter and it was then placed on the OEA’s Mediation docket. According to the record, the parties participated in a Mediation/Settlement discussion. Ultimately, the parties opted to settle their differences. This matter was then assigned to the Undersigned on January 16, 2018, for adjudication purposes. At that point, the parties had settled in principle but had not submitted the required documentation to the Office noting that their final decision was to settle and dismiss the instant matter. On January 29, 2018, the Undersigned issued an Order Convening a Prehearing Conferences which was set for March 8, 2018. On February 26, 2018, Employee, through counsel, submitted a Praeceptum of Dismissal which states in pertinent part that “the Employee, through counsel, and with the consent of the Agency, hereby moves the [OEA] to enter the above captioned matter as settled and dismissed.” After reviewing the record, I have determined that no further proceedings are warranted. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether this matter may now be dismissed.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

I am guided by the OEA rules in this matter. OEA 606.2¹ provides that “the Office shall exert every possible effort to resolve matters by mediation, to the extent possible, rather than through litigation.” Furthermore, OEA Rule 606.11 states that “if the parties reach a settlement, the matter shall be dismissed in accordance with D.C. Official Code § 1-606.06(b) (2006 Repl.)” Employee, through counsel, has submitted a fully executed Praeceptum of Dismissal, noting that the parties have settled their differences and withdrawing his Petition for Appeal. Accordingly, I find that Employee’s Petition for Appeal should be dismissed in accordance with OEA Rule 606.11.²

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, it is hereby ORDERED that this matter be DISMISSED.

FOR THE OFFICE:

Eric T. Robinson, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge

¹ 59 DCR 2129 (March 16, 2012).

² Pursuant to this ruling, the Prehearing Conference, which was previously scheduled to occur on March 8, 2018, is hereby CANCELLED.