

Notice: This decision is subject to formal revision before publication in the District of Columbia Register and OEA Website. Parties are requested to notify the Office Manager of any formal errors in order that corrections may be made prior to publication. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BEFORE
THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:)	
)	
EMPLOYEE)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0042-21
)	
v.)	Date of Issuance: April 18, 2022
)	
METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT)	JOSEPH E. LIM, ESQ.
<u>Agency</u>)	Senior Administrative Judge
Pamela M. Keith, Esq., Employee representative		
Michelle Hersh, Esq., Agency Representative		

INITIAL DECISION

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Employee filed a petition with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA”) on or about August 12, 2021, appealing the decision of the D.C. Metropolitan Police Department (“MPD” or “Agency”) to suspend him from his position as a Detective for twenty (20) workdays. Agency alleged that Employee violated its General Orders. OEA requested Agency’s response on September 28, 2021, and MPD submitted its response on October 25, 2021. After an unsuccessful mediation, this matter was assigned to me on January 19, 2022. I held a prehearing conference on April 7, 2022, wherein Employee indicated that he intended to seek more comprehensive legal remedies before either the Federal and/or District courts. Thereafter, Employee mailed an April 7, 2022, voluntary withdrawal of his appeal. The record is closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code §1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Should the petition be dismissed?

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

Since Employee has voluntarily withdrawn his appeal, Employee's petition for appeal is dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that this matter is DISMISSED with prejudice.

FOR THE OFFICE:

s/Joseph Lim
Joseph E. Lim, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge