

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register* and on the Office of Employee Appeals’ website. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BEFORE
THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS**

In the Matter of:)	
)	
EDEN TEKLEBRHANE,)	
Employee)	
)	OEA Matter No. J-0029-18
v.)	
)	Date of Issuance: March 26, 2018
D.C. OFFICE OF THE CHIEF)	
FINANCIAL OFFICER,)	
Agency)	
)	Michelle R. Harris, Esq.
)	Administrative Judge
Eden Teklebrhane, Employee <i>Pro Se</i> ¹		
Chaia O. Morgan, Esq., Agency Representative		

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On February 5, 2018, Eden Teklebrhane (“Employee”), filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA” or “Office”) contesting the District of Columbia Office of the Chief Financial Officer’s (“Agency”) decision to terminate her from her position as an Agency Fiscal Officer, effective January 25, 2018. On February 9, 2018, Agency filed its Motion to Dismiss Employee’s Petition for Appeal. This matter was assigned to the undersigned Administrative Judge (“AJ”) on February 12, 2018. On February 12, 2018, I issued an Order requesting Employee to address the jurisdictional issue Agency noted in its Motion to Dismiss. Employee’s response was due on or before March 2, 2018. Agency had the option to submit a response on or before March 16, 2018. Employee did not respond within the prescribed deadline and on March 8, 2018, I issued an Order for Statement of Good Cause. Employee had until March 19, 2018, to respond.

On March 19, 2018, Employee filed a response stating in pertinent part that, “...due to the lack of jurisdiction over this matter, I would like to request my appeal be dismissed with the Office of Employee Appeals.”² The record is now closed.

¹ Employee mentions in her submission to the Office that she has an attorney; however, the attorney did not enter an appearance for this matter.

² Employee’s Withdrawal Letter filed March 19, 2018.

JURISDICTION

The jurisdiction of this Office has not been established.

ISSUE

Whether this appeal should be dismissed based upon Employee's voluntary withdrawal.

FINDINGS OF FACTS, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

In the instant matter, since Employee has voluntarily withdrawn her appeal, Employee's petition is hereby dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED** that the petition in this matter is **DISMISSED**.

FOR THE OFFICE:

MICHELLE R. HARRIS, Esq.
Administrative Judge