

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register*. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**BEFORE**  
**THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS**

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	OEA Matter No.: 1601-0116-13
LONETIA TATE,	)	
Employee	)	
	)	Date of Issuance: July 23, 2014
v.	)	
	)	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS,	)	
Agency	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	Arien P. Cannon, Esq.
	)	Administrative Judge
Lonetia Tate, Employee, <i>Pro se</i>		
Sara White, Esq., Agency Representative		

**INITIAL DECISION**

**INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND**

On July 15, 2013, Lonetia Tate (“Employee”) filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“Office” or “OEA”) challenging the District of Columbia Public Schools’ (“Agency”) decision to remove her from her position with Agency. This matter was assigned to me on May 22, 2014. A Prehearing Conference was held on July 15, 2014. Employee submitted a Withdrawal of Appeal on July 22, 2014. The record is now closed.

**JURISDICTION**

Jurisdiction of this Office is established in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

**ISSUE**

Whether Employee’s Petition for Appeal should be dismissed based on her voluntary withdrawal.

**ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION**

On July 22, 2014, Employee submitted a Withdrawal of Appeal to this Office. Accordingly, Employee's Petition for Appeal is hereby **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

**ORDER**

It is hereby **ORDERED** that Employee's Petition for Appeal is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

FOR THE OFFICE:

---

Arien P. Cannon, Esq.  
Administrative Judge