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INITIAL DECISION
INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On August 9, 2013, Rebecca Willis (“Employee”) filed a petition for appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA” or “the Office”) contesting the District of Columbia Public Schools’ (“Agency”) final decision to remove her from her position as an Instructional Paraprofessional due to poor IMPACT ratings. Employee’s termination was to be effective on August 10, 2013.

This matter was assigned to me on May 14, 2014. I held a Prehearing Conference on August 6, 2014. I ordered the parties to submit a post-conference report on the parties’ attempts to assist Employee’s retirement in lieu of termination. Sometime thereafter, Agency submitted a Personnel Action Form 50 indicating that Employee had successfully and voluntarily retired in lieu of being terminated. The record is closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office had jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether this appeal should be dismissed.

FINDING OF FACTS, ANALYSIS, AND CONCLUSIONS

1 IMPACT is the effectiveness assessment system which the D.C. Public Schools used for the 2012-2013 school years to rate the performance of school-based personnel.
In their settlement discussions, the parties have agreed to allow Employee to voluntarily retire in lieu of being terminated in exchange for Employee dropping her appeal. The parties have indicated that this has been accomplished.

In light of these facts, this appeal can be dismissed on two grounds, Employee’s withdrawal of her appeal, and/or a dismissal of the appeal for lack of jurisdiction. Employee has verbally indicated that she wants to withdraw her appeal. Thus, this appeal may be dismissed.

Another ground for dismissal is Employee’s voluntary retirement. Once that has occurred, this Office loses jurisdiction over the appeal. Where an Employee has voluntarily retired, OEA has dismissed the employee’s petition for appeal based on lack of jurisdiction.2

Effective October 21, 1998, and except as otherwise provided in the District of Columbia Government Comprehensive Merit Personnel Act of 1978, DC Code 1 601.1 et seq. or Rule 604.2 below, any District of Columbia government employee may appeal a final agency decision affecting:

a. A performance rating which results in removal of the employee;
b. An adverse action for cause that results in removal, reduction in grade, or suspension for ten (10) days or more; or
c. A reduction-in-force

This Office has no authority to review issues beyond its jurisdiction.3 Thus, issues regarding jurisdiction may be raised at any time during the course of the proceeding.4 In the instant case, I find that Employee elected to voluntarily retire in lieu of being terminated. Because Employee voluntarily retired prior to being terminated, I am unable to address the merits of her appeal before this Office. Accordingly, Employee’s petition for appeal must be dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that Employee’s appeal is DISMISSED.

FOR THE OFFICE:    
Joseph E. Lim, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge

---

2 Adele LaFranque v. DCPS, OEA Matter No. 2401-0032-10 (February 8, 2011).