

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register* and the Office of Employee Appeals' website. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**BEFORE**  
**THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS**

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
CHRISTINE JOHNSON,	)	
Employee	)	OEA Matter No. J-0074-19
	)	
v.	)	Date of Issuance: October 18, 2019
	)	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DEPARTMENT	)	
OF CORRECTIONS,	)	
Agency	)	
	)	
	)	ARIEN P. CANNON, ESQ.
	)	Administrative Judge
	)	
Christine Johnson, Employee, <i>Pro se</i>	)	
Jacqueline Johnson, Agency Representative	)	

**INITIAL DECISION**

**INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

Christine Johnson (“Employee”) filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA”) on August 8, 2019, contesting the District of Columbia Department of Corrections’ (“Agency”) decision not to hire her. On September 9, 2019, Agency filed a letter with OEA asserting that this Office lacks jurisdiction over Employee’s appeal. An Order on Jurisdiction was issued on September 30, 2019, which required Employee to submit a detailed written statement as to why she believes this office is the appropriate forum to address her case. Employee submitted a withdrawal of her appeal on October 7, 2019. The record is now closed.

**JURISDICTION**

The jurisdiction of this office has not been established.

**ISSUE**

Whether this matter should be dismissed based on Employee’s voluntary withdrawal.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Here, Employee submitted a letter, signed and dated October 3, 2019, withdrawing her appeal before OEA. This letter was received and filed with this Office on October 7, 2019. Additionally, the letter indicates that Employee has been in contact with Agency's Human Resource Department and the issue presented in her filing with OEA is being rectified. Accordingly, I find that Employee's Petition for Appeal should be dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED** that Employee's Petition for Appeal is **DISMISSED**.

FOR THE OFFICE:

---

Arien P. Cannon, Esq.  
Administrative Judge