

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**BEFORE**

**THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS**

_____	)	
In the Matter of:	)	
	)	OEA Matter No.: 1601-0144-12
Rosemary Woodruff,	)	
Employee	)	
	)	Date of Issuance: March 21, 2013
v.	)	
	)	
Office of the State	)	Joseph E. Lim, Esq.
Superintendent of Education,	)	Senior Administrative Judge
Agency	)	
_____	)	
Rosemary Woodruff, Employee <i>pro se</i>		
Hillary Hoffman-Peak, Esq., Agency Representative		

**INITIAL DECISION**

INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF FACTS

Employee filed a petition for appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (OEA) on July 12, 2012, appealing Agency’s final decision to terminate her for “any act which constitutes a criminal offense whether or not the act results in a conviction, specifically: making a false statement or representation knowing it to be a false or knowingly failing to disclose a material fact to obtain or increase unemployment benefits.” A mandatory mediation was held on February 4, 2013, and resulted in a settlement agreement. Around March 12, 2013, the parties submitted a signed withdrawal of her petition. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

This Office has jurisdiction pursuant to D.C. Office Code Section 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Should this matter be dismissed?

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

The Administrative Judge is authorized to dismiss this matter based on Employee’s request that the petition for appeal be withdrawn as a result of the voluntary settlement of the matter. *See Rollins v. District of Columbia Public Schools*, OEA Matter No. J-0086-92, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (December 3, 1990).

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that the petition for appeal is DISMISSED with prejudice.

FOR THE OFFICE:

Joseph E. Lim, Esq.  
Senior Administrative Judge