

Notice: This decision is subject to formal revision before publication in the District of Columbia Register. Parties are requested to notify the Office Manager of any formal errors in order that corrections may be made prior to publication. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

_____)	
In the Matter of:)	
)	
JEMAL CHEATHAM,)	
Employee)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0134-11
)	
v.)	Date of Issuance: October 3, 2011
)	
D.C. OFFICE OF THE CHIEF)	
FINANCIAL OFFICER,)	
Agency)	ERIC T. ROBINSON, Esq.
_____)	Administrative Judge
Jemal Cheatham, Employee <i>Pro-Se</i>		
Treva Saunders, Assistant General Counsel		

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On July 18, 2011, Jemal Cheatham (“Employee”) filed a petition for appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA” or “the Office”) contesting the District of Columbia Office of the Chief Financial Officer (“Agency”) action of abolishing his position. Initially, this matter was assigned to Administrative Judge Johnnie I. Barton on or about August 1, 2011. However, this matter was reassigned to the undersigned on or around September 1, 2011. After reviewing the record, I discovered that on August 16, 2011, Employee submitted a letter requesting that this matter be withdrawn. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Should this matter be dismissed?

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

The Employee has voluntarily withdrawn his petition for appeal. Pursuant to this withdrawal, I conclude that this matter may now be dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that this matter be DISMISSED.

FOR THE OFFICE:

ERIC T. ROBINSON Esq.
Administrative Judge