

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register*. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BEFORE
THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

| | | |
|--|---|----------------------------------|
| _____ |) | |
| In the Matter of: |) | |
| |) | |
| DAVID SLEDGE, |) | |
| Employee |) | OEA Matter No. 1601-0150-10 |
| |) | |
| v. |) | Date of Issuance: March 24, 2015 |
| |) | |
| D.C. METROPOLITAN POLICE |) | |
| DEPARTMENT, |) | |
| Agency |) | ERIC T. ROBINSON, Esq. |
| |) | Senior Administrative Judge |
| _____ |) | |
| Marc L. Wilhite, Esq., Employee Representative | | |
| Brenda S. Wilmore, Esq., Agency Representative | | |

INITIAL DECISION

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On November 17, 2009, Captain David H. Sledge (“Employee”) filed a petition for appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA” or the “Office”) contesting his ten day suspension imposed by the Metropolitan Police Department (“Agency”). On February 16, 2012, this matter was initially assigned to Administrative Judge Lois Hochhauser. Judge Hochhauser then opted to hold this matter in abeyance while related issues were resolved in the United States Federal District Court for the District of Columbia. This matter was reassigned to the Undersigned on or about April 2013. Recently, the aforementioned case was decided and the parties proceeded toward litigation before the OEA. During the course of the renewed OEA litigation process, the parties voluntarily entered into settlement negotiations under the auspices of the OEA’s Mediation Department. On March 24, 2015, after protracted settlement talks, the parties submitted a signed settlement agreement which resolved the underlying issues in this matter. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether this matter should be dismissed.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

Since the parties have settled the matter, Employee's petition for appeal is dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that the petition in this matter be dismissed.

FOR THE OFFICE:

ERIC T. ROBINSON ESQ.
Senior Administrative Judge