

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register*. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BEFORE
THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

_____)	
In the Matter of:)	
)	
REGINALD PARKER,)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0072-12
Employee)	
)	
v.)	Date of Issuance: March 29, 2012
)	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA)	Stephanie N. Harris, Esq.
PUBLIC SCHOOLS,)	Administrative Judge
Agency)	
_____)	
Emmanuel D. Akpan, Esq., Employee Representative)	
W. Iris Barber, Esq., Agency Representative)	

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On March 1, 2012 Employee filed a petition for appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA”) contesting the District of Columbia Public Schools’ (“DCPS” or Agency”) decision to terminate him from his position as a behavioral technician. In a letter dated February 10, 2012 Agency informed Employee of his removal for falsification of official records and dishonesty. Employee’s termination was effective on March 3, 2012. On March 16, 2012 Employee, through counsel, filed a request to withdraw the petition for appeal. This matter was assigned to me on or around March 21, 2012. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether this matter should be dismissed.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

Employee has voluntarily withdrawn his petition for appeal. Pursuant to this withdrawal, I conclude that this matter is dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby **ORDERED** that this matter be **DISMISSED**.

FOR THE OFFICE:

Stephanie N. Harris, Esq.
Administrative Judge