

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register*. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

_____)	
In the Matter of:)	
)	OEA Matter No.: 1601-0233-12
KAREN WIMBUSH)	
Employee)	
)	Date of Issuance: March 11, 2013
v.)	
)	
OFFICE OF THE STATE)	
SUPERINTENDENT OF EDUCATION,)	
Agency)	Sommer J. Murphy, Esq.
_____)	Administrative Judge
Karen Wimbush, Employee, <i>Pro Se</i>		
Hillary Hoffman-Peak, Esq., Agency Representative		

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On October 3, 2012, Karen Wimbush (“Employee”) filed a Petition for Appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA” or the “Office”) contesting the District of Columbia Office of the State Superintendent of Education’s (“Agency”) action of terminating her employment. The effective date of Employee’s termination was February 23, 2011.

On February 12, 2013, the parties engaged in settlement negotiations and subsequently entered into a written settlement agreement. On February 22, 2013, Employee submitted a motion to withdrawal her Petition for Appeal in the above-captioned matter.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether this appeal should be dismissed.

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

Since Employee has voluntarily withdrawn her appeal, Employee's Petition for Appeal is dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that Employee's petition for appeal is DISMISSED.

FOR THE OFFICE:

SOMMER J. MURPHY, ESQ.
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE