

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

_____)	
In the Matter of:)	
)	OEA Matter No.: J-0004-14
Charmaine Hicks,)	
Employee)	
)	Date of Issuance: October 16, 2013
v.)	
)	
Office of the State)	Joseph E. Lim, Esq.
Superintendent of Education,)	Senior Administrative Judge
Agency)	
_____)	

Charmaine Hicks, Employee *pro se*
Hillary Hoffman-Peak, Esq., Agency Representative

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF FACTS

Employee filed a petition for appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (OEA) on October 7, 2013, appealing Agency’s final decision to terminate her for neglect of duty. On October 9, 2013, Employee submitted a signed statement withdrawing her appeal.

JURISDICTION

This Office has jurisdiction pursuant to D.C. Office Code Section 1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Should this matter be dismissed?

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

The Administrative Judge is authorized to dismiss this matter based on Employee’s request that the petition for appeal be withdrawn as a result of the voluntary settlement of the matter. *See Rollins v. District of Columbia Public Schools*, OEA Matter No. J-0086-92, *Opinion and Order on Petition for Review* (December 3, 1990).

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that the petition for appeal is DISMISSED with prejudice.

FOR THE OFFICE:

Joseph E. Lim, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge