INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On June 24, 2011, Melvin Evans (“Employee”) filed a petition for appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA” or “the Office”) contesting the Metropolitan police Department’s (“MPD” or “the Agency”) action of issuing him an official reprimand. I was assigned this matter on or about September 21, 2011. After reviewing the case file and the documents of record, I issued an Order dated September 22, 2011, wherein I questioned whether the OEA may exercise jurisdiction over the instant matter. After reviewing Employee’s submission, along with all of the other relevant facts and circumstances, I have determined that no further proceedings in this matter are warranted. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

As will be explained below, the jurisdiction of this Office has not been established.

ISSUE

Should this matter be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction?
BURDEN OF PROOF

OEA Rule 629.1, 46 D.C. Reg. 9317 (1999) states that:

The burden of proof with regard to material issues of fact shall be by a preponderance of the evidence. “Preponderance of the evidence” shall mean:

That degree of relevant evidence which a reasonable mind, considering the record as a whole, would accept as sufficient to find a contested fact more probably true than untrue.

OEA Rule 629.2, id., states that “the employee shall have the burden of proof as to issues of jurisdiction, including timeliness of filing.”

ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION

I find that Employee’s petition for appeal is an appeal of an official reprimand. Title 1, Chapter 6, Subchapter VI of the D.C. Official Code (2001), a portion of the Comprehensive Merit Personnel Act, sets forth the law governing this Office. D.C. Official Code § 1-606.03 reads in pertinent part as follows:

(a) An employee may appeal a final agency decision affecting a performance rating which results in removal of the employee (pursuant to subchapter XIII-A of this chapter), an adverse action for cause that results in removal, reduction in force (pursuant to subchapter XXIV of this chapter), reduction in grade, placement on enforced leave, or suspension for 10 days or more (pursuant to subchapter XVI-A of this chapter) to the Office upon the record and pursuant to other rules and regulations which the Office may issue. Any appeal shall be filed within 30 days of the effective date of the appealed agency action.


The jurisdiction of this Office is expressly limited to performance ratings that result in removals; final agency decisions that result in removals, reductions in grade; suspensions or enforced leave of ten days or more; or reductions in force. See OEA Rule 604.1, Based on the preceding statute, OEA rule, and related case law, I find that the OEA does not have jurisdiction
to adjudicate appeals of an official reprimand. Consequently, I conclude that I must dismiss this matter for lack of jurisdiction.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that this matter be DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction.

FOR THE OFFICE:

______________________________
ERIC T. ROBINSON, Esq.
Administrative Judge