

Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register* and the Office of Employee Appeals' website. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**BEFORE**  
**THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS**

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	OEA Matter No.: 1601-0020-20C21
██████████	)	
Employee	)	
	)	Date of Issuance: August 2, 2021
v.	)	
	)	ARIEN P. CANNON, ESQ.
D.C. DEPARTMENT OF RENTAL HOUSING,	)	Administrative Judge
Agency	)	
	)	

David A. Branch, Esq., Employee Representative  
Ryan Martini, Esq., Agency Representative

**ADDENDUM DECISION ON COMPLIANCE/ENFORCEMENT**

An Initial Decision was issued in this matter on February 25, 2021, reversing Agency's action of suspending Employee for twenty (20) workdays. On May 6, 2021, Employee, by and through counsel, filed a Petition for Enforcement. OEA Rule 635.1 provides that, "[u]nless the Office's final decision is appealed to the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, the District agency shall comply with this Office's final decision within thirty (30) calendar days from the date the decision becomes final."

Here, the undersigned issued an Initial Decision on February 25, 2021, which reversed Agency's decision to suspend Employee for twenty (20) workdays and order it to reimburse Employee for all backpay and benefits lost because of her suspension. On April 26, 2021, Agency appealed the Initial Decision and filed a Petition for Review of Agency Decision with the Superior Court of the District of Columbia.<sup>1</sup> Because Agency appealed the February 25, 2021 decision of this Office, it is not required to comply with the order under OEA Rule 635.1. Thus, I find Employee's Petition for Enforcement is premature. Employee may re-file her motion depending on the disposition of this matter in Superior Court.

---

<sup>1</sup> [Employee] v. District of Columbia Rental Housing Commission, D.C. Super. Ct No. 2021 CA 001617 P(MPA).

**ORDER**

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Employee's Motion to Enforce is **DISMISSED** without prejudice as premature.

FOR THE OFFICE:

---

Arien P. Cannon, Esq.  
Administrative Judge