

Notice: This decision is subject to formal revision before publication in the District of Columbia Register and OEA Website. Parties are requested to notify the Office Manager of any formal errors in order that corrections may be made prior to publication. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
BEFORE
THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
EMPLOYEE,	)	OEA Matter No. 1601-0047-25
	)	
v.	)	Date of Issuance: October 2, 2025
	)	
D.C. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,	)	JOSEPH E. LIM, ESQ.
	)	Senior Administrative Judge
Employee <i>pro se</i>	)	
Zita Orji, Esq., Agency Representative		

INITIAL DECISION

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Employee filed a petition with the Office of Employee Appeals (“OEA”) on or about June 9, 2025, appealing the decision of the D.C. Department of Corrections (“DOC” or “Agency”) to terminate her employment as a Case Manager for Neglect of Duty, Conduct Prejudicial to the District Government, False Statements, Failure to Follow Instructions effective May 9, 2025. OEA requested Agency’s response on June 10, 2025, and Agency submitted its response on July 10, 2025. This matter was assigned to me on July 10, 2025. I emailed the parties for proposed prehearing dates. On August 22, 2025, Employee submitted her request to voluntarily withdraw her appeal. The record is closed.

JURISDICTION

The Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code §1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Should the petition be dismissed?

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

Since Employee has voluntarily withdrawn her appeal, Employee's petition for appeal is dismissed.

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that this matter is DISMISSED with prejudice.

FOR THE OFFICE:

s/Joseph Lim
Joseph E. Lim, Esq.
Senior Administrative Judge